

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,570	10/24/2003	Judith D. Auslander	F-756	9120	
919	7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER		
PITNEY BO	WES INC.	SHAH, M	SHAH, MANISH S		
35 WATERV P.O. BOX 300	··· <del>-</del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MSC 26-22			2853		
SHELTON, CT 06484-8000			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Appl	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/6	92,570	AUSLANDER, JU	DITH D.			
		Exan	iner	Art Unit				
		l	sh S. Shah	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed	on 13 October	2005.					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	ims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restrict	on and/or elect	on requirement.					
Application Paper	rs							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PT losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	<sup>-</sup> O-948)	4)	ary (PTO-413)	· ·O-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer et al. (# US 6176908) in view of Kawamura (# US 4553173).

Bauer et al. discloses a method for producing indicum on article including the steps of providing a supply of ink having red or magenta pigment, polymeric dispersant, a fluorescent dye (multi-signal transmission ink) (see Abstract) which is adapted to provide a different signal which is adapted to machine readable (column: 2, line: 25-46); and printing at least portion of the indicium on the article by drop on demand or continuous printing the multi-signal transmission ink, wherein portion is adapted to be visually observable in normal day light, and the portion is adapted to be read as a non-halftone signal by a machine even though the portion is halftone printed (column: 10, line: 54-67; column: 11, line: 1-26).

Bauer et al. discloses all the limitation of the method for printing indicium except that the printing at least a portion of the indicium on the article by halftone printing.

Kawamura teaches that to get the high resolution and high gradation printed image, print the portion of the image by halftone printing using laser beam printer or an inkjet printer (see Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Bauer et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Kawamura in order to have a high resolution and high gradation printed image.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer et al. (# US 6176908) in view of Kawamura (# US 4553173) as applied to claims 1-3, 5 & 9 above, and further in view of Lent et al. (# US 5837042).

Bauer et al. and Kawamura discloses all the limitation of the method of producing indicium on article except that the fluorescent ink includes a rare earth complex.

Lent et al. teaches that to get the invisible to the unaided eye marking on the print medium, fluorescent ink includes a rare earth metal (see Abstract; column: 4, line: 40-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Bauer et al. as modified by the aforementioned teaching of Lent et al. in order to have a invisible to unaided eye printed image.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer et al. (# US 6176908) in view of Kawamura (# US 4553173) as applied to claims 1-3, 5 & 9 above, and further in view of Connell et al. (# US 5554842).

Bauer et al. and Kawamura discloses all the limitation of the method of producing indicium on article except that the fluorescent ink includes phosphorescent ink.

Connell et al. teaches that to get the stable ink composition, the fluorescent ink includes a rare earth metal and phosphorescent compound (see Abstract; column: 7, line: 25-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Bauer et al. as modified by the aforementioned teaching of Lent et al. in order to have a invisible to unaided eye printed image.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7 & 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

(1) The steps of printing includes halftone printing with fill of less than 50%, more preferably about 15%.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,570 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

MSS

12/13/05